

Serial No.	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page No.
SESSION 1		
ENTRENCHING THE CONSTITUTIONAL VISION OF JUSTICE		
1.	M. P. Singh, <i>Mapping the Constitutional Vision of Justice and its Realization</i> , 3 J. Nat'l L. U. Delhi 1, 16 (2015-2016)	
2.	Fali S. Nariman, " <i>Our Quest for Justice – and Why it Eludes Us</i> ", Quest for Justice: Collection of Essays (2012)	
3.	Rudolf C. Heredia, <i>Just Ends through Just Means: Justice as Liberty, Equality, Solidarity</i> , 46(5) Economic and Political Weekly 47, 54 (2011)	
4.	Justice K.P. Siva Subramaniam, <i>Indian Constitution – Its Vision of Justice</i>	
Cases		
1.	<i>Indian Young Lawyers Association & Ors. v. The State of Kerala</i> , 2018 Indlaw SC 905	
2.	<i>Joseph Shine v. Union of India</i> , 2018 Indlaw SC 899	
3.	<i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another v. Union of India & ors.</i> , 2018 Indlaw SC 898	
4.	<i>Navtej Singh Johar & Ors. v. Union of India</i> , 2018 Indlaw SC 786	
5.	<i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another v. Union of India & ors.</i> 2017 SCC OnLine SC 996.	
6.	<i>Shayara Bano v. Union of India & ors.</i> , 2017 SCC OnLine SC 963	
7.	<i>Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India (UOI), Ministry of Law and Ors.</i> (2016) 7 SCC 221	
8.	<i>Nabam Rebia and Ors. v. Deputy Speaker and Ors.</i> , (2016) 8 SCC 1	
9.	<i>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v. Union of India</i> , 2015 AIR SCW 5457	
SESSION 2		
SOCIAL CONTEXT JUDGING AS A CONTROLLING ELEMENT IN STATUTORY INTERPRETATION AND EXERCISE OF DISCRETION		
1.	Pillay Anashri, ' <i>Revisiting the Indian Experience of Economic and Social Rights Adjudication: The need for a Principled Approach to Judicial Activism and Restraint.</i> ' International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 63 (02). pp. 385-408 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expanding Article 21: Dignity, Directive Principles and Minimum Standards of treatment 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Retreat from Judicial Activism? • Lessons for Economic and Social Rights Adjudication 	
2.	Justice S. Muralidhar, <i>The Expectations and Challenges of Judicial Enforcement of Social Rights</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socio-Economic Rights within the Context of the Constitution • Coverage of Disadvantaged Groups and Non-Nationals • Legal Standing and Access to Legal Services • Judicial Activism and Public Interest Litigation • Forced Evictions: A Case Study in Mumbai • Environment v. Livelihood: An Avoidable Problem 	
3.	Katherine Swinton, <i>Judicial Impartiality and Social Context Education</i>	
4.	Barak, Aharon, (2011), <i>On Society, Law, and Judging</i> , 47 Tulsa L. Rev. 297, 318	
SESSION 3		
IMPACT OF MEDIA ON PUBLIC PERCEPTION REGARDING VITALITY OF JUSTICE DELIVERY		
1.	Mohammed Nouredine Affaya, <i>Media and Justice: What Role In Democracy?</i> , Organizing Information, Regulating Communication, EU-Maghreb Exchange Between Judges and Journalists, United Nations University & CIDOB, (2012)	
2.	Justice G. Raghuram, <i>Media as an Instrument of Public Accountability</i> , 3 NMLR 2013	
3.	Nicola A. Boothe-Perry, <i>Friends of Justice: Does Social Media Impact the Public Perception of the Justice System?</i> 35 Pace L. Rev. 72 (2014)	
4.	Katerina Linos, <i>The Supreme Court, the Media, and Public Opinion: Comparing Experimental and Observational Methods</i> , 45 J. Leg. Stud. 223 (2016)	
5.	Judith Gibson, <i>Social Media and the Electronic “New World” of Judges</i> , Revista Forumul Judecatorilor – Nr. 1/2017	
SESSION 4		
PRECEDENTS: NAVIGATING THROUGH PRECEDENTIAL CONFLICTS		
1.	Mohan Parasaran, <i>How to Comprehend Precedents</i> , (2016) 2 SCC J-28	1
2.	Justice R.V. Raveendran, <i>Precedents-Boon or Bane?</i> , (2015) 8 SCC J-1	12
3.	Richard M. Re, <i>Narrowing Supreme Court Precedent from Below</i> , [Vol. 104:921]	36
4.	Bryan A. Garner, (2016), <i>The Law of Judicial Precedent</i> , Thomas Reuters, United States. [Excerpts from Book] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15. Binding Precedent • 17. Leading Cases 	87

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 35. Lack of Precedents • 42. Res Judicata distinguished from Stare Decisis • 90. Value of Foreign Precedents 	
5.	Honorable Edward D. Re, <i>Stare Decisis</i> , Presented at a Seminar for Federal Appellate Judges Sponsored by the Federal Judicial Center May 13-16, 1975, FJC	
SESSION 5 ROLE OF THE JUDICIARY IN ADJUDICATING ELECTORAL DISPUTES: FREE AND FAIR ELECTIONS		
1.	Dr. Cheselden George V. Carmona, <i>Enhancing the Capacity of Judges to Resolve Election Disputes: Preliminary Considerations</i> , (IOJT) Washington DC (November 3-7, 2013).	
2.	Manoj Mate, <i>High Courts and Election Law Reform in the United States and India</i> , 32 Boston University International Law Journal 267-307 (2014)	
3.	<i>Emerging Concerns in Electoral Reforms</i> [Excerpt from, An Undocumented Wonder, The making of the Great Indian Election by Dr. S.Y. Quraishi]	
4.	Ms. Mamta Awariwar, <i>Electoral reforms: Supreme Court Guidelines</i> , Bharti Law Review, July-Sept, 2014, Manupatra Online Ed.	
Cases		
1.	<i>Lok Prahari v. Union of India</i> , AIR 2018 SC 1041	
2.	<i>Ajay Arjun Singh v. Sharadendu Tiwari</i> , 2016 (8) SCALE 213	
3.	<i>Krishnamoorthy v. Sivakumar</i> , (2015) 3 SCC 467	
4.	<i>Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan v. Union of India</i> , (2014) 11 SCC 477	
5.	<i>Common Cause v. Union of India</i> , (2014) 6 SCC 552	
SESSION 6 MANAGING JUDICIAL REVIEW WITHIN SEPARATION OF POWERS AND DEMOCRATIC FRAMEWORK		
1.	Ashok H. Desai, <i>Judicial Overreach: A Critique</i> , (2013) 9 SCC J-1	
2.	Martin H. Redish and Matthew B. Arnould, <i>Judicial Review, Constitutional Interpretation, and the Democratic Dilemma: Proposing a “Controlled Activism” Alternative</i> , 64 Florida Law Review 1485 -1537 (2012).	
3.	Stephen Gardbaum, <i>Separation of Powers and the Growth of Judicial Review in Established Democracies (or Why Has the Model of Legislative Supremacy Mostly Been Withdrawn From Sale?)</i> . 62 (3) American Journal of Comparative Law 613-639	
4.	William J. Wagner, <i>Balancing as Art: Justice White and the Separation of Powers</i> , 52 Cath. U. L. Rev. 957 (2003)	

5.	Justice Ruma Pal, <i>Separation of Powers</i> , [Excerpt] The Oxford Handbook of the Indian Constitution. Oxford University Press.	
6.	James Bradley Thayer, <i>The Origin and Scope of The American Doctrine of Constitutional Law</i> , Chicago University Press, (1893)	
SESSION 7		
ADJUDICATING ECONOMIC CRIMES: CORPORATE FRAUD & MANIPULATION		
1.	Miriam H. Baer, <i>Confronting the Two Faces of Corporate Fraud</i> , 66 Fla. L. Rev. 87 (2014)	
2.	P. K. Gupta and S. Gupta (2015), <i>Corporate Frauds in India – Perceptions and Emerging Issues</i> . Journal of Financial Crime, Vol. 22 Issue 1 Pp. 79 – 103	
3.	<i>Financial and Corporate Frauds</i> , Grant Thornton India LLP, July 2016, New Delhi	
Cases		
1.	<i>Securities and Exchange Board of India v. Kishore R. Ajmera</i> , AIR 2016 SC 1079	
2.	<i>Kosha Investments Ltd. v. Securities and Exchange Board of India & Ors.</i> (2016) 1 SCC 542	
3.	<i>Sahara India Real Estate Corporation v. Securities & Exchange Board of India</i> , (2013) 1 SCC 1	
4.	<i>N Narayanan v. Adjudicating Officer, SEBI</i> , AIR 2013 SC 3191	
SESSION 8		
MONEY LAUNDERING: THE PREVENTION OF MONEY LAUNDERING ACT, 2002: CURRENT CHALLENGES		
1.	K.N. C. Pillai, <i>The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002</i> . [Available at http://14.139.60.114:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/695/6/]	
2.	Guidelines on Anti-Money Laundering (AML) Standards and Combating the Financing of Terrorism (CFT) /Obligations of Securities Market Intermediaries under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, Circular by SEBI, July 4, 2018	
Cases		
1.	<i>J. Sekar and Ors. v. Union of India and Ors.</i> , 246 (2018) DLT 610	
2.	<i>Nikesh Tarachand Shah v. Union of India</i> , AIR 2017 SC 5500	
3.	<i>Gautam Kundu v. Directorate of Enforcement</i> , (2015) 16 SCC 1	
4.	<i>B. Rama Raju & Others v. Union of India</i> , 2011 (3) ALT 443	
5.	<i>A. Kamarunnisa Ghori v. The Chairperson, Prevention of Money Laundering</i> , 2012 (4) CTC 608	

*** Note: Some of the cases in the Reading Material have been edited to highlight issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgement for conclusive opinion which is available in the CD enclosed.**